

Getting The Most Out Of Drilling Data - Using WITSML

Jay Hollingsworth Chief Technology Officer

Aberdeen UK 2014-02-19



Vision

- Barriers
- Case Studies for value today











Data quality

- Optimized well manufacturing
- Autonomous rigs QHSE benefits
- High-rate data for all purposes











Lack of data sharing on the rig

- No common clock, sensors
- Lack of takeup of newer standards
 WITSML 1.4.1.1, wired pipe

<PRODML/>[™] <RESQML/>[™] <MICR</pre>

- Missing data from standards
 - e.g, Quality flags
- Bandwidth
- Training







- Large US Independent
- Saudi Aramco
- PEMEX
- Statoil









US Independent - Situation

- Drilling and completion data available in the field
- Completion data manually entered in Excel in the office
- Then re-entered into completion database at HQ
- Lots of data entry inconsistency









US Independent – Standards Solution

Operator developed a WITSML adapter for Excel

 Completion data comes from the field, is reviewed in Excel and goes to the completion database all via WITSML

energistics

- Standard reference values are applied

<RESQML/>

- Manual data entry eliminated
 - Improving data quality

PRODML/

Chain of custody is clear



Aramco - Situation



energistics

- Multiple service and software companies
- Each service company has its own software infrastructure and visualization tool
- Lack of coherent content and format standards
- No connection between real-time and static master data environments

<RESQML/>



Aramco – Standards Solution



- Implemented WITSML-based solution
 - Data enters WITSML store from all vendors
 - Common reference values applied
- Static data also translated into WITSML

<RESQML/

- Validated static data improves real-time quality
- Integrated analysis reduces re-work and re-keying

<MIC









- Major service and software companies and many smaller ones present and handling data
- Each service company has its own software infrastructure and visualization tool

<RESQML/>

 Users have to copy and paste or re-type data from one vendor's system to another to bring information together for analysis









Pemex – Standards Solution



- Implemented WITSML-based solution
 - Data enters single WITSML store from all vendors
 - Common reference values applied
- Elimination of vendor-specific solutions meant
 - Duplicated data resolved, improving quality
 - Manual work to resolve differences eliminated??





Statoil - Situation

Many technical computing applications with well-related information

- Transferring data among these applications is either:
 - Pair-wise movement between applications A and B
 - Vendor-specific transfer environment
- Automating transfers is problematic









Statoil – proposed standards solution

- Use WITSML as a data transfer standard
- Many technical applications already support it for near real-time trajectory transfer
- Just expand to all the well data









Some ideas for solutions

- WITSML 2
 - Websocket
 - HDF5
 - New API
- SPE DSA-TS with OPC-UA
 - Closed-loop process control







